JUST AGRICULTURE
multidisciplinary e-Newsletter

Performance of Rainfed Upland Kharif Greengram Under Land Surface Modification in NBPZ of Assam

Nikhilesh Baruah*, Harihar Chandra Bayan and Pallab Kumar Sarma

Biswanath College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, BiswanathChrailai, Assam

ARTICLE NO: 055

Summary:

A field experiment was conducted during, kharif season of 2016 and 2017 at Biswanath College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, BiswanathChariali to study the influence of land surface modification along with residue incorporation viz. Broad Bed Furrow and Flat bed in kharif greengram. The experiment consisted of six treatments in terms of land surface modification viz. Flatbed with crop residue incorporation, Flatbed without crop residue incorporation, Broad Bed Furrow 60-30cm bed size with residue incorporation, BBF 60-30cm bed without residue incorporation, BBF which should be 120-30cm bed with residue incorporation and BBF 120-30cm bed without residue incorporation. The study results revealed that BBF of 60-30cm and BBF 120-30cm size bed were superior over flatbed in respect of growth, yield attributes, and yield of the kharif greengram.

Introduction: In India, about 56% of the total cultivated area falls under rainfed agriculture. Among various factors of crop production like improved varieties, method of sowing, time of sowing, spacing, rational use of water and nutrients, weeds, pests and diseases, Land configuration is the most critical factor for optimizing production potential of crops (Bhat and Mahal et al., 2006). Major land configuration practice includes raised bed preparation, ridges and furrows, broad bed furrows etc. Proper land configuration based on weather conditions viz., heavy rainfall, drought or acidity or salinity hazards etc. acts as management practices for crops (Deshmukh et al., 2016).

JUST AGRICULTURE
multidisciplinary e-Newsletter

Methodology:

The field experiment soil was conducted on sandy loam during, kharif season of 2016 and 2017 at Biswanath College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, BiswanathChariali. The treatments consisted of Flatbed with crop residue incorporation, Flatbed without crop residue incorporation, Broad Bed Furrow 60-30cm bed size with residue incorporation, BBF 60-30cm bed without residue incorporation, BBF 120-30cm bed with residue incorporation and BBF 120-30cm bed without residue incorporation. During greengram growing period, total rainfall received was 480.4mm and 526.4mm against the total evaporation of 226.6mm and 207.8 and the number of rainy days was 25 and 27 in 2016 and 2017, respectively. For incorporation of crop residues, after threshing of rice in nearby plots of the experiment grown in autumn season, the straw weight was recorded and carried to the field and weights were taken. Then the rice straw (residue) was incorporated in the plots as per treatments by spreading them uniformly after the first opening of the plots to grow greengram. The remaining plots were kept as such without crop residues. Recommended doses of fertilizers were applied in the crop as per package of practices of Assam. The greengram variety 'Pratap' was sown in 15.08.16 and 16.08.17 and harvested on 24.10.16 and 22.10.17 in 2016 and 2017, respectively.

Results:

1. **Plant height (cm):** The plant height of greengram during 2016 and 2017 at 60 DAS and at harvest, BBF 60-30cm and BBF 120-30cm with and without rice residues incorporation resulted in similar effect and proved significantly superior to flatbed methods. (Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3)
2. **Dry matter accumulation (g/m^2):** Dry matter accumulation at 30 DAS and 60 DAS and at harvest in 2016, and 30 DAS in 2017, the effect of both the BBF at 60-30cm and 120-30cm with and without rice residues incorporation were statistically at par but significantly higher over the flatbed methods. (Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6).
3. **Leaf area index (LAI):**Duringboth the year, at all the crop growth stages, significantly higher leaf area index was recorded under the treatment BBF at 60-30cm with residue

JUST AGRICULTURE
multidisciplinary e-Newsletter

incorporation This was followed by BBF 120-30cm with residue incorporation and BBF 60-30cm without residue incorporation, all being at par in effect and proved significantly superior to flatbed method. (Fig. 7, Fig. 8 and Fig. 9).

4. Yield attributes and yield :

The treatment BBF 60-30cm both with incorporation produced significantly higher number of clusters plant^{-1} over rest of the treatments during both 2016 and 2017(Table1). In respect to number of pods cluster^{-1} in 2016, BBF 60-30cm and BBF 120-30cm with and without residue incorporation showed at par effect but significantly higher over flatbed methods. While in 2017, the effect of BBF 60-30cm on number of pod cluster^{-1} was significantly higher over rest of the treatments. Higher values were recorded in respect of number of seeds pod^{-1} and 1000 seed weight under BBF 60-30cm with residue incorporation and the lowest values were recorded under flatbed. A similar result of increased yield attributes of greengram*viz.*, number of clusters plant^{-1}and pod cluster^{-1}due to the land configuration of BBF compared to flatbed method was also reported by Tomar*et al.*, (2013).

Both the treatment BBF 60-30 cm and BBF 120-30 cm with and without residue incorporation resulted statistically similar seed yield and produced significantly higher values over both the flat methods of with and without residue incorporation (Table 2). The highest seed yield (9.58 q ha^{-1} and10.63 q ha^{-1} in 2016 and 2017, respectively) was recorded with the treatment BBF 60-30 cm with residue incorporation followed by BBF 60-30cm without residue incorporation. Increased seed and stover yield of chickpea and safflower by 12.5% and 10.7% in BBF planting over traditional flatbed method were also reported by Khambalkar*et al.*, (2014).

Conclusion: From the study it can be concluded that the land surface modification in terms of moisture conservation practice of BBF 60-30cm size and BBF 120-30cm with residue incorporation resulted better performance in respect of growth, yield attributes and yield of *kharif* greengram.

JUST AGRICULTURE
multidisciplinary e-Newsletter

Table 1. Effect of land surface modification with residue on yield attributes of greengram

Treatments	Cluster plant^{-1}		Pod cluster^{-1}		Length of pod (cm)		Seeds pod^{-1}		1000 seed weight (g)	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
land surface modification										
M_1: Flat bed with residue	2.35	2.53	2.09	2.23	7.62	7.93	7.94	7.32	30.84	30.98
M_2 : Flatbed without residue	2.33	2.41	2.07	2.13	7.39	7.88	7.81	7.17	30.78	30.98
M_3: BBF 60-30cm with residue	2.59	2.75	2.33	2.51	7.88	8.02	8.84	8.80	30.84	31.07
M_4: BBF 60-30cm without residue	2.47	2.67	2.23	2.36	7.72	7.98	8.56	7.94	30.78	30.42
M_5: BBF 120-30cm with residue	2.56	2.68	2.33	2.45	7.70	8.02	8.72	8.16	31.34	31.35
M_6:BBF 120-30cm without residue	2.45	2.53	2.21	2.37	7.67	7.83	8.45	7.84	31.08	31.13
SEm±	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.16	0.12	0.30	0.29	0.46	0.31
CD (P=0.05)	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.20	NS	NS	NS	0.87	NS	NS

Table 2. Effect of land surface modification and residue management on yield of greengram

Treatments	Seed yield (q ha^{-1})	Stover yield (q ha^{-1})	Harvest Index (%)

JUST AGRICULTURE
multidisciplinary e-Newsletter

	2016	2017	Pooled	2016	2017	pooled	2016	2017
land surface modification								
M_1: Flat bed with residue	6.73	7.45	7.09	19.06	22.08	20.57	26.09	25.22
M_2 : Flatbed without residue	6.63	6.98	6.82	18.90	21.61	20.26	25.96	24.41
M_3: BBF 60-30cm with residue	9.58	10.63	10.10	27.62	29.26	28.44	25.75	26.64
M_4: BBF 60-30cm without residue	9.44	10.11	9.77	26.10	28.11	27.10	26.56	26.45
M_5: BBF 120-30cm with residue	9.04	9.69	9.36	24.96	27.99	26.47	26.58	25.71
M_6: BBF 120-30cm without residue	8.95	9.33	9.14	24.75	27.11	25.93	26.55	25.60
SEm±	0.36	0.41	0.19	0.97	0.75	0.41	0.27	0.47
CD (P=0.05)	1.09	1.23	0.62	2.91	2.25	1.23	0.81	1.40



Plant height (cm) at 30DAS | Plant height (cm) at 60DAS

JUST AGRICULTURE
multidisciplinary e-Newsletter



Plant height (cm) at harvest



Dry matter accumulation (g/m^2) at 30DAS



Dry matter accumulation (g/m^2) at 60DAS



Dry matter accumulation (g/m^2) at harvest



Leaf area index at 30DAS



Leaf area index at 60DAS



Leaf area index at harvest

JUST AGRICULTURE
multidisciplinary e-Newsletter

References:

Bhat MA, Mahal SS. Performance of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes under different planting and weed control methods. CROP RESEARCH-HISAR-. 2006;32(2):153.

Deshmukh, S.P.; Vasave,J.; and Patel,A.M (2016). A short Review of Land configuration to improve the plant growth, development and yield of cereals. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Innovations.* **4**(3) : 1-4. July-September.

Khambalkar, K.P.; Nage, S.M.; Rathod, C.M.; Gajakos, A.V. and Shilpadahatonde, (2014). Mechanical sowing of Safflower on Broad Bed Furrow. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Engineering* **1**(5): 184-187.

Tomar, S.S; Sharma, K.K and Pachlamiya, N.K. (2013) Management of soil and water resources towards enhanced agricultural profitability. *Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi VishwavidyalayaResearch Journal***47**(2): 116-140